Proposed Changes to Engineering Recommendation P2 and G5

Stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views or providing any further evidence on any of the matters contained within the consultation documents. Stakeholders are invited to supply the rationale for their responses to the set questions.

Please send your responses and comments by **17:00 on 16 February 2024** to [Ahmed.Mohamed@nienetworks.co.uk](mailto:Ahmed.Mohamed@nienetworks.co.uk) or [Richard.Derby@nienetworks.co.uk](mailto:Richard.Derby@nienetworks.co.uk) and title your email ‘Consultation Response to EREC P2 and G5’. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not be taken into consideration.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to [Ahmed.Mohamed@nienetworks.co.uk](mailto:Ahmed.Mohamed@nienetworks.co.uk) or [Richard.Derby@nienetworks.co.uk](mailto:Richard.Derby@nienetworks.co.uk).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent** | *Name* |
| **Company Name** |  |
| **Stakeholders represented** | *Please list all Stakeholder names responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).* |
| **Role of Respondent** | *Eg Distributor/Supplier/Generator/ Consolidator / Exemptible Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / Distributor / other – please state* [[1]](#footnote-1)*)* |
| **We intend to publish the consultation responses. Do you agree to this response being published? [Y/N]** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Question | Response |
| Q1 | Do you agree with the content and approach contained in EREC P2 and EREP 130, or do you have any alternatives to propose? |  |
| Q2 | Do you have any objections to the proposal for NIE Networks to move from EREC P2/6 to P2/8? |  |
| Q3 | Do you have any comments regarding relaxing the security of supply in EREC P2/8 by not to restore 1.2MW (increased from 1MW) of customer demand (Class of Supply B – between 1MW and 8MW) following an outage for HV feeders up to 1km in length? |  |
| Q4 | Do you have any objections to the proposal for NIE Networks to move from EREC G5/4 to G5/5? |  |
| Q5 | Do you have any objections to the proposal for NIE Networks to remove EREC P16 from the Standards? |  |
| Q6 | Do you have any other relevant comments? |  |

Please provide specific comments on any of the documents included in the consultation pack[[2]](#footnote-2).

| Document Name | Page No | Line No | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph Figure/ Table | Type  of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial) | COMMENTS |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikeout, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Add more rows if required [↑](#footnote-ref-2)