Implementation of the EU Network Code Requirements for Generators

Stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views or providing any further evidence on any of the matters contained within the consultation documents. Stakeholders are invited to supply the rationale for their responses to the set questions.

Please send your responses and comments by **17:00 on 15 February 2019** to david.hill@nienetworks.co.uk and please title your email ‘Consultation Response RfG’. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to D Code Administrator on david.hill@nienetworks.co.uk

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent** | *Name* |
| **Company Name** |  |
| **No. of D Code Stakeholders Represented** |  |
| **Stakeholders represented** | *Please list all Stakeholder names responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).* |
| **Role of Respondent** | *Eg Distributor/Supplier/Generator/ Consolidator / Exemptible Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / Distributor / other – please state* [[1]](#footnote-1)*)* |
| **We intend to publish the consultation responses. Do you agree to this response being published? [Y/N]** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Question | Response |
| Q1 | Comments are welcome on any part of the draft Distribution Code, G98/NI, G99/NI and the PPM Setting Schedule. |  |
| Q2 | Do you have any general comments on how effectively the RfG requirements have been incorporated into NI documents and is there any aspect that needs modifying before final publication? |  |
| Q3 | Do you have any comments on the envisaged connection and compliance assessment process? |  |
| Q4 | Do you have any other comments? |  |

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of the **Distribution Code**

| Page No | Line No | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph Figure/ Table | Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial) | COMMENTS | Proposed change | OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIATon each comment submitted |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of **EREC G98/NI**

| Page No | Line No | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph Figure/ Table | Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial) | COMMENTS | Proposed change | OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIATon each comment submitted |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of **EREC G99/NI**

| Page No | Line No | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph Figure/ Table | Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial) | COMMENTS | Proposed change | OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIATon each comment submitted |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content and usability of the **PPM Setting Schedule**

| Page No | Line No | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph Figure/ Table | Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial) | COMMENTS | Proposed change | OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIATon each comment submitted |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikeout, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses [↑](#footnote-ref-1)